

technical features. More particularly, the examiner has contended that the invention of Group I lacks the special technical feature of adding address information for every predetermined data amount of packets read out from the memory means; and that the invention of Group II lacks the special technical feature of DMA-transferring packets to the recording apparatus.

In response to the restriction requirement, Applicant hereby elects to prosecute the invention of Group I, including claims 1-7, 19-24, 35 and 37. Accordingly, claims 8-18, 25-34, 36 and 38 of Group II stand withdrawn from consideration in the present application without prejudice to Applicant's right to file a divisional application directed thereto.

If there are any additional charges in connection with this response, the examiner is authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 12-1095 therefor.

Dated: January 11, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Robert B. Cohen

Registration No.: 32,768

LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,

KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK, LLP

600 South Avenue West

Westfield, New Jersey 07090

(908) 654-5000

Attorney for Applicant